

Net SILOs: Generalizing the Layered Network Architecture

George N. Rouskas

Department of Computer Science
North Carolina State University

<http://net-silos.net/>

Joint work with: Ilia Baldine (RENCI), Rudra Dutta (NCSU), Dan Stevenson (RTI),
Anjing Wang (NCSU), Manoj Vellala (Cisco)

Outline

- Context: Layering and the Clean-Slate Debate
- Motivation: Software Defined Optics
- SILO Network Architecture: The Story So Far
- Summary and Future Directions

Context (1)

The Internet is broken!

Context (1)

The Internet is broken! (has ossified / reached an impasse)

Context (1)

The Internet is broken!

Security needs an overhaul: it is difficult to

- identify users
- hold them accountable for their actions
- prevent them from causing harm

Context (1)

The Internet is broken!


Middleboxes violate end-to-end principle:

- firewalls
- NAT
- proxies

Context (1)

The Internet is broken!


Fixed layer architecture is outdated



Context (1)

The Internet is broken!


Fixed layer architecture is outdated



Context (1)

The Internet is broken!


Fixed layer architecture is outdated



Context (1)

The Internet is broken!

Cross-layer interactions difficult: TCP over wireless



Context (1)

The Internet is broken!

Clear need for clean-state initiatives → NSF FIND, EU FIRE, . . .

1. research in new network architectures
2. large-scale experimental facilities → GENI

Context (2)

The Internet is doing just fine, thank you!

Context (2)

The Internet is doing just fine, thank you!

- Biological metaphor: mutation and natural selection
- Evolutionary designs: more robust, less expensive
- Mid-layer protocols must be conserved – not ossified
→ innovation at lower/upper layers of architecture

Context (2)

The Internet is doing just fine, thank you!

- Biological metaphor: mutation and natural selection
 - Evolutionary designs: more robust, less expensive
 - Mid-layer protocols must be conserved – not ossified
 - innovation at lower/upper layers of architecture
- Evolution beats revolution

Context (3)

Layering as optimization decomposition [CLCD 2007] [LSS 2006]

- Protocol layers integrated into mathematical framework
- Global optimization problem: network utility maximization
- Decomposition into subproblems → layering
 - optimal modules (protocols) map to different layers
 - interfaces between layers coordinate the subproblems



Context (3)

Layering as optimization decomposition [CLCD 2007] [LSS 2006]

- Clean-state optimization → layered network architecture
 - optimal layering \neq TCP/IP stack
 - various representations of optimization problem
 - different layered architectures
 - (loose) coupling among layers → cross-layer considerations


Role-Based Architecture (RBA) [BFH 2003]

- New abstraction: organize protocols in **heaps**, not stacks
- Richer interactions among protocols → flexibility
- Require new system-level implementations




Recursive Network Architecture (RNA) [TP 2008]

- **Meta-protocol:** generic protocol layer with basic services
- Each layer in stack → appropriately configured instantiation
- Allows reuse, cleaner cross-layer interactions, dynamic composition




Recursive Network Architecture (RNA) [TP 2008]

- **Meta-protocol:** generic protocol layer with basic services
- Each layer in stack → appropriately configured instantiation
- Allows reuse, cleaner cross-layer interactions, dynamic composition



Recursive Network Architecture (RNA) [TP 2008]

- **Meta-protocol:** generic protocol layer with basic services
- Each layer in stack → appropriately configured instantiation
- Allows reuse, cleaner cross-layer interactions, dynamic composition



Our View

- Internet architecture successful in accommodating change

Our View

- Internet architecture successful in accommodating change
- **But:** current practice of **patches/tweaks** cannot continue forever

Our View

- Internet architecture successful in accommodating change
- **But:** current practice of **patches/tweaks** cannot continue forever
- New architecture must be designed for **adaptability/evolvability**

Our View

- Internet architecture successful in accommodating change
- **But:** current practice of **patches/tweaks** cannot continue forever
- New architecture must be designed for **adaptability/evolvability**
- New architecture must **preserve/generalize** layering

Our View

- Internet architecture successful in accommodating change
- **But:** current practice of **patches/tweaks** cannot continue forever
- New architecture must be designed for **adaptability/evolvability**
- New architecture must **preserve/generalize** layering
- SILO objective:

Our View

- Internet architecture successful in accommodating change
- **But:** current practice of **patches/tweaks** cannot continue forever
- New architecture must be designed for **adaptability/evolvability**
- New architecture must **preserve/generalize** layering
- SILO objective:

The goal is not to design the “next” system, or the “best next” system, but rather a system that can sustain continuing change

Software Defined Optics

- Optical substrate can no longer be viewed as **black box**

Software Defined Optics


- Optical substrate can no longer be viewed as **black box**
- Collection of **intelligent and programmable** resources:

Software Defined Optics

- Optical substrate can no longer be viewed as **black box**
- Collection of **intelligent and programmable** resources:
 - optical monitoring, sensing mechanisms
 - amplifiers, impairment compensation devices
 - tunable optical splitters
 - configurable add-drop
 - programmable mux-demux (e.g., adjust band size)
 - adjustable slot size
 - . . .

Cross-Layer Interactions

- Impairment-aware RWA and network design
- Placement of optical sub-systems (converters, amplifiers, regenerators)
- Traffic grooming
- Inter-layer QoS and traffic engineering
- Optical layer multicast
- Multi-layer failure localization and recovery
- ...




SILO Architecture Highlights

- **Services:** building blocks of fine-grain functionality → reusability
- Generalizes traditional layer stack
- Enables inter-layer interactions:
 - **knobs:** explicit control interfaces
- Design for change:
 - facilitates introduction of new services

Generalization of Layering


- **Silo:** vertical composition of services
 - preserves layering principle
- **Per-flow** instantiation of silos
 - introduces flexibility and customization
- **Decoupling** of layers and services
 - services introduced at point in stack where necessary

Silos: Generalized Protocol Stacks




Inter-Layer Interactions (1)

- **Knobs:** explicit control interfaces
 - adjustable parameters specific to functionality of service
 - enable info exchange among services
- Algorithms may optimize jointly the behavior of services in a silo




Inter-Layer Interactions (2)

Upward information passing




Inter-Layer Interactions (2)

Downward information passing




Inter-Layer Interactions (2)

Up-and-down information passing



Inter-Layer Interactions (2)


Silo-wide optimization/calibration



Design for Change

- Architecture **does not dictate** services to be implemented
- Provide mechanisms for:
 - introduce new services
 - compose services into silos
- **Ontology** of services: describes
 - service semantics → function, data/control interfaces
 - relationship among services → relative ordering constraints

Ontology – Networking Knowledge




Service Composition


- Constraints on composing services **A** and **B**:
 - A requires B
 - A forbids B
 - A must be above (below) B
 - A must be immediately above (below) B
 - Negations, AND, OR
- Minimal set:
 - Requires, Above, ImmAbove, NotImmAbove
- All pairwise condition sets realizable
 - Forbids = (A above B) AND (B above A)
 - Above = NOT Below

Service Composition Problem

- Given: a set of essential services ← application
- Obtain a valid ordering of these and additional services
 - or, identify conflicts with constraints
- Simple composition algorithm implemented
- Ongoing research in formalizing the problem




SILO Software Prototype



<http://net-silos.net/>

Prototype Architecture



Summary

- Vision – enable flexibility, evolution: “design for change”
 - fine-grain, reusable services, explicit control interface
 - enables experimentation, flexibility, community of innovation
 - per-flow service composition (silos)
 - ease of evolution, policies
- Framework – provide architectural support to vision:
 - constrained composition
 - commoditize cross-layer interaction / optimization

Ongoing Efforts

- New research directions
 - silos in the core
 - software defined optics
 - virtualization and slicing
- Extend the prototype
 - portfolio of reusable services
 - deployment in optical testbed → breakable experimental network (BEN)
- Explore synergies with other (FIND) projects